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NGA coverage by Member State: Total and rural areas

Next Generation Access (FTTP, VDSL and Docsis 3.0 cable) coverage, June 2017

Source: IHS and Point Topic
(2) Purpose of State aid control

**Efficient use of tax payer money**

- No over-compensation
- Limit State intervention to areas of “market failure”
- Ensure incentive effect

**Minimise distortions of competition**

- Protect private investment ("crowding-out");
- Technological neutrality

**Competition policy objectives**

- Foster competition in areas of “natural monopoly” (open networks)
- Avoid picking-the-winner of the aid beneficiary (tender).
(3) State aid control in the broadband sector

No aid if:

No economic activity:
- closed public networks (e.g. NN24/2007 Public sector network Prague, N46/2007 Welsh Public Sector Network, N407/2009 Xarxa Oberta)
- Administrative or regulatory measures (e.g. N383/09 Germany Broadband in the rural areas of Saxony)

Market Economy Investor Principle (C53/06 Citynet Amsterdam, Trento)

Service of General Economic Interest (SGEI) and Altmark (NGA: N331/2008 Hauts de Seine, basic: N381/2004 Pyrénées-Atlantiques)
(3) General Block Exemption Regulation (GBER)

- Only "white" target areas
- Full and effective unbundling
- Total project < € 70 million
- Apply BB Guidelines by analogy
- "Confirmation letter"
- Ex post control
(4) – The Broadband Guidelines

**Definition of NGA**
- Fibre, advanced Cable, Advanced Fixed Wireless Access

**Compatibility assessment: ‘white’, ‘grey’ and ‘black’ areas**
- In white areas, aid promotes territorial cohesion and economic development objectives
- In grey areas: Monopolistic bottlenecks: need for a detailed assessment (step change)
- In black areas, in principle no need for State intervention: risk of crowding out existing investors

**Ultra-fast networks (>100 Mbps) in black NGA areas**
- Step change
- Wholesale-only
- Significant enhanced technological characteristics
- No excessive distortion of recent investments
(4) Broadband Guidelines: the balancing exercise

- **Step change:**
  - (i) significant new investments
  - (ii) significant new capabilities: availability, capacity, speed, competition (e.g. existing network does not provide adequate services/prices)

- **Avoid “crowding out”**
  - Remedy an identified market failure: unsatisfied demand, insufficient private investment
  - Applies to any State aid intervention: in white/grey/black areas

- **Pro-competitive (**proportionality**) conditions**
  - Mapping & public consultation, tender, wholesale access, technological neutrality, existing infrastructure, transparency
(5) Current topics: Very High Capacity Networks

• Case-practice to date:
  - basic grey interventions (basic to basic)
  - change from basic to NGA (below to above 30 Mbps)
  - Grey backhaul networks
  - NGA grey interventions (NGA to NGA / VHCN)

• Challenges of the VHCN definition
  - grey/black NGA areas do not become "white VHCN" – notification required
  - Demonstrate unsatisfied demand

• Commission Staff Working Document - Gigabit Communication
Commission targets for Europe

- **Digital Agenda (2010):** targets for 2020
  - 30 Mbps download for all households ('NGA')

- **Gigabit Communication (2016):** targets for 2025
  - 5G coverage
  - 1 Gbps symmetric for "socio-economic drivers"
  - 100 Mbps download for all households, upgradeable to 1Gbps

for applications, such as:
- e-health
- precision farming
- industry 4.0
- virtual reality
Future Demand Estimation

Future demand estimation by 2025:

Table 1: Application categories with their capacity and quality requirements 2025

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application category</th>
<th>Downstream (Mbit/s)</th>
<th>Upstream (Mbit/s)</th>
<th>Packet loss</th>
<th>Latency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic Internet</td>
<td>≈20</td>
<td>≈16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeoffice/VPN</td>
<td>≈250</td>
<td>≈250</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud Computing</td>
<td>≈250</td>
<td>≈250</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media and Entertainment HD/3D</td>
<td>≈150</td>
<td>≈30</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media and Entertainment Ultra-HD, 4K-TV, 3D, ...</td>
<td>≈300</td>
<td>≈60</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication</td>
<td>≈8</td>
<td>≈8</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Videocommunication (HD)</td>
<td>≈25</td>
<td>≈25</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaming</td>
<td>≈300</td>
<td>≈150</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Health</td>
<td>≈50</td>
<td>≈50</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-Home/E-Facility</td>
<td>≈50</td>
<td>≈50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Services / WiH-Offloading</td>
<td>≈15</td>
<td>≈12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: WIK-IDATE-Deloitte: Regulatory, in particular access, regimes for network investment models in Europe, SMART 2015/0002
The Bavarian gigabit pilot project

- First case under Gigabit Communication
  - for Gigabit Communication objectives
  - in "grey NGA areas" (one existing infrastructure of 30 Mbps download)

- Existing NGA-infrastructures in the target areas:
  - copper network (VDSL, partly vectoring) or
  - cable network (Docsis 3.0)

Question: How to define the step change / market failure?
- Definition will set investment incentives for the whole sector by dividing between "old" and "new" technologies
'Principles' proposed in the Bavarian pilot

- Established step change criteria + Gigabit Communication targets
- Case-by-case assessment

- **Doubling** of download *and* upload speeds

- **Target network** is FTTH/B and therefore VHCN

- **Overbuilding of existing networks** (definition of **target areas**)
  - No overbuilding of FTTH/B and Docsis 3.1 for companies
  - No overbuilding of infrastructure with 100 Mbps download or more (FTTH/B, Docsis, vectoring providing 100Mbps) for households
Results and outlook

- **Gigabit Communication objectives**
  - deployment of VHCN
  - more households will have 100 Mbps, more companies 1 Gbps symmetric

- **In-built incentives for private upgrading**
  - Copper-upgrade by vectoring / super-vectoring (for households)
  - Cable-upgrade by Docsis 3.1 (for companies)

**Outlook:**
- Gigabit-cases in other MSs expected
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